

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/712,327	CRAVEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Paul Durand	Art Unit 3721	

All Participants:

Status of Application: non final

(1) Paul Durand. (3) _____.

(2) _____. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 November 2006

Time: 1400

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Bausch

Claims discussed:

1,9,12,14,17 and 27

Prior art documents discussed:

Bausch


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant attempted to overcome rejection by Bausch by amending claims. Applicant also added new independent claim 27 and dependant claims 18-26 and 28-35. Examiner inquired whether applicant would cancel all claims except 9,12 and 14 to place application in condition for allowance. Applicant did not want to. A final rejection will be written to address new limitations. .